



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

between the two countries and that of these, eight were violated by Great Britain: the treaty of peace, 1783, the Jay treaty, the treaty of Ghent, the Rush-Bagot agreement of 1818, the Fishery Convention of 1819, the Indemnity Convention of 1823, the Clayton Bulwer treaty of 1850 and the treaty of Washington of 1871. Of these, the first, second, fourth and fifth may be regarded as having been violated by the United States but with the possible exception of the fifth the American violations took place only after the treaties had been violated by Great Britain, and consequently the United States cannot be justly reproached for disregarding obligations which Great Britain had declined to observe. No treaty, he adds, appears to have been violated by the United States alone.

The limits of this review do not permit of an analysis, or estimate of the evidence which Major Bigelow brings forward in support of his conclusions but it may be doubted whether the case he makes out against Great Britain in some of the instances which he cites is conclusive. Thus, in the case of the treaty of 1783, it is true that the fulfillment of the stipulations regarding impediments to the collection of debts due British creditors devolved upon the States rather than upon the national government, but to invoke this circumstance in avoidance of the national obligation was to take advantage of a technicality and to rely upon the letter rather than the spirit of the treaty. It was, of course, the duty of the British government to evacuate all of the Western posts as soon as possible, as the treaty required, and as this was not done Great Britain's violation of the treaty may be said to have antedated the American violations. Nevertheless, the British government in the end performed its stipulations, even if tardily, whereas the States systematically interposed obstacles in the way of the execution of Article IV of the treaty. It is difficult for an unbiased mind to avoid the conclusion that the American offense was the more reprehensible of the two.

JAMES W. GARNER.

University of Illinois.

JONES, CHESTER LLOYD. *Caribbean Interests of the United States*. Pp. viii, 379. Price, \$2.50. New York: D. Appleton and Company, 1916.

Dr. Jones has written a valuable and stimulating work on a field of great interest, politically as well as economically, to the United States. His is practically the first work to deal in any comprehensive way with present important problems and capabilities of this increasingly strategic area. It is to be hoped that the further study of these will appeal not only to the student but also to the man in business and public life. The average American is poorly informed on the subject and can read with profit the significant facts that Dr. Jones has here so well brought together. Though the book is popular in form, a liberal use has been made of our Consular and Trade Reports, commercial relations and a good range of substantial authorities. While emphasis is given to economic conditions and to the trade relations of the West Indies, Central America, and northern South America with the United States in particular, the views expressed of our political and diplomatic interests in these regions will merit no less consideration.

J. C. B.